



"Buckrucker, Elizabeth A
NWK"
<Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@nw
k02.usace.army.mil>

01/24/2006 05:22 PM

To "Len Warner (E-mail)" <LWarner@PIRNIE.COM>, "Scott
Thompson (E-mail)" <SThompson@PIRNIE.COM>
cc Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA

bcc

Subject FW: WVN 11 Rework -- LW version --- comments wrt current
BSF

Len,

Just a couple of thoughts since looking over the current BSF very briefly -- with regards to the proposed WVN -- I am sending this back around just now because this WVN does need to be completed but I could not provide much input earlier since the BSF was so outdated. Using the 16 Dec 05 BSF rec'd yesterday -- I would note the following -- some of these we did discuss based on my look at the draft BSF (and things not changing from draft to final).

1. Model Meeting on S/T. Current BSF shows Task 58B (ST Model) is already overbudget (appr. \$8k)-- this indicates to me that per the progress HQI is reporting must be at the limit. I'm not sure how much capacity they can have. We talked about this prior to submittal of BSF -- this cost overrun needs to be removed -- I've given guidance on not exceeding budget since 26 Oct 05 and we don't have funds to support this cost overrun.

2. Hydrodynamic Model - The QA/MPI review of this document must stay within the planned budget -- I'm not certain what portion of the budget has been used but we have no additional funds for this so the review should be planned accordingly. I do expect the document to be delivered to EPA and Corps 2 weeks after your receipt for QA review.

3. 1.6c -- RTC/Final QAPP -- total spent per BSF has now exceeded the amount authorized -- BSF needs to be reduced. We just added fund to this last time around to cover cost overruns; and it's showing another \$4k+ over again?

4. 3.3b -- Travel Expenses -- we combined 2 work elements and the BSF tasks, when added again exceed the amount we have provided on the budget -- need to explain -- this is another cost overrun of appr. \$1.2k.

I would like to confirm that this WVN is still current and this is what is currently proposed -- with the above - I'm not sure. I also wanted Alice to have chance to comment. The WAD 6 tasks have changed quite a lot since our last discussion and the proposal I rec'd on 13 Dec 05 -- please add some comments so we know what is changing and what is now expected from these tasks for completion. I'm not sure I've got this straight. Thanks -

The WVN will have to be corrected such that their is a zero dollar change -- right now it's \$1,151.

Regards,

Beth Buckrucker

Senior Project Manager, CENWK-PM-ES

Ph: (816) 983-3581

F: (816) 426-5509

C: (816) 695-5797

-----Original Message-----

From: Warner, Len [mailto:LWarner@PIRNIE.COM]

Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2006 12:55 PM

To: Buckrucker, Elizabeth A NWK

Cc: Thompson, Scott (White Plains); Smith, Vincent

Subject: RE: WVN 11 Rework -- LW version

Hi Beth:

The first item that I have to send to you (attached) is a reworked WVN 11 for Passaic....Vinnie confirmed some funding availability that I had suspected (especially on FSP 2 Pre-Draft). Once we complete the

205094



Dec 16th BSF and forecast today, we should revisit and double-check. It looks like I have more funding available than we need, and I feel very strongly about moving some of it to the Draft Round 1 Report so that we can accommodate an update to the CSM in spring 2006.

One request....the remaining funding on WAD 05 WE 1.5b, Draft Final WP/FSP, is actually due to Battelle. In tracking this down, I recognized a couple of things -- 1) The 11/11 BSF under-reported the available funding and 2) it's all due to Battelle. I think when I was working on the August ATP/WVN I put all my Battelle final planning document money in one bucket. I have current invoices from Battelle for Draft WP, Final WP, and Final QAPP. These are the final percentages under their authorization -- no more bills are coming. I would like, if possible, to conduct a \$0 change among WE's 1.5b, 1.5c, and 1.5d only so that I can put Battelle's invoices on the proper tasks and our billing/internal PM will reflect their authorizations on the individual tasks. Let me know if you are amenable to this request -- it's not currently shown this way on the attached file.

Len

From: Buckrucker, Elizabeth A NWK [mailto:Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@nwk02.usace.army.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2006 1:11 PM
To: Warner, Len
Subject: Let's talk after you send things on Passaic --

If you send NBay first -- I'll get busy making those plots for contracting --

Beth Buckrucker

Senior Project Manager, CENWK-PM-ES

Ph: (816) 983-3581

F: (816) 426-5509



C: (816) 695-5797 USACE 2005-01-11 WVN 11 LW.xls